Service Provider 1 :	

TECHNICAL EVALUATION GRID

			Comment / proof provided	Rating (1-4)		max. nb of points	Sub Total in %	Max. points (base 100)	Points gained	Total points gain	Total max. allocated points
Structure and Capacity	1	**Primary business information**, copy of registration, date of incorporation, ownerships, declaration of undertaking.								-	-
	2	**Implementation experience.** Implementing cash programme of similar nature and/or scale with names of countries, programmes and service recipients. Though experience in humanitarian context is preferred, scale should be emphasised over context.									
	3	**Size, Organization and Management**. Includes Annual turnover of the company, Total assets/Total liabilities, and Accessibility/Coverage within the country . This reflects capacity and reliability to carry deliver service.									
Financial Health and Credibility	4	**Reputation, Reliability, and Solvency,** Credit rating and/or audited financial statements is used to assess the financial health of the company. If rating is not available, audited financial statements for two years (covering three financial periods) should be used for analysis.									
	5	**Guarantee from Government body**, Based on nature and amount of guarantee (e.g. Banks guaranteed by Government may compensate for low credit rating in countries under exceptional economic									
Fund transfer process	6	**Fund flow process, from IFRC to beneficiary,** This would allow evaluation of parties/partners involved in the transaction, and the level of exposure to financial risks.									
FINANCE TECHNICAL VALIDATION (If total points gained is below 14 - the offer shall not be evaluated further)										0	0
Adequacy of Proposed Methodology and Work Plan	7	***Security and Risk Management.*** *(Appropriateness for operational context). The extend to which the proposal mitigates theft of funds and data protection breaches*								-	-
	8	***FSP Payment Service Solution*** *. (technical solution) Is the proposed solution suitable to meet the programme objectives? Are important issues approached in an innovative and efficient way?*									
	9	**Cash Disbersement abilities** (transfer and liquidity capability) Ability to transfer funds to the targets community, the ability for the targeted comminity to received their funds, with sufficient liquidity and how will undistributed funds be handled?									
	10	***Coverage*** *. (branches, locations and opening times). Is agent distribution network is big enough, close enough and reliable enough to enable distribution without significant time/cost to recipients*									
	11	**FSP Training, Technical Support and oher abilities** (including financila inclusion, mass communication etc) The value added activities that can be provided by FSP to enhance or facilitate the implementation.									
	12	***FSP reporting abilities*** *(reporting/documenting abilities) Ability to track and monitor expenditures between IFRC and FSP; FSP and beneficiary. Is there sufficient reporting to fulfill to audit's minimum requirements? Is there a fair degree of functionality that facilitiates the monitoring of the proposed work plan?*									
	13	**Clarity of proposal.** Are the various points coherent and decision points well defined?									
	14	**Timeliness of Output.** Is proposed activity schedule realistic? Are requested output provided on time ?									
PROGRAMME TECHNICAL VALIDATION										0	0
TOTAL TECHNICAL VALIDATION						0	0	0	0.00	0	0

Rating to apply

1	**Poor**
2	**Satisfactory**
3	**Good**
4	**Very Good**

Prepared and submitted by:

Evaluator / Procurement Officer

Feedback for service provider: